

CHEN–RUAN COHOMOLOGY OF SOME MODULI SPACES, II

INDRANIL BISWAS AND MAINAK PODDAR

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus at least two. Let r be a prime number and $\xi \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic line bundle such that r is not a divisor of $\text{degree}(\xi)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles over X of rank r and determinant ξ . By Γ we will denote the group of line bundles L over X such that $L^{\otimes r}$ is trivial. This group Γ acts on $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ by the rule $(E, L) \mapsto E \otimes L$. We compute the Chen–Ruan cohomology of the corresponding orbifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles E over X of rank two with $\bigwedge^2 E = \eta$, where $\eta \rightarrow X$ is a fixed holomorphic line bundle of degree one. This $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)$ is a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $3g - 3$. Let $\Gamma_2 = \text{Pic}^0(X)_2$ be the group of holomorphic line bundles L over X such that $L^{\otimes 2}$ is trivial. Such a line bundle L defines an involution of $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)$ by sending any E to $E \otimes L$. This defines a holomorphic action of Γ_2 on $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)$. In [3], we computed the Chen–Ruan cohomology algebra of the corresponding orbifold $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)/\Gamma_2$. (See [4], [5], [11], [1] for Chen–Ruan cohomology.) We note that $\mathcal{M}_\eta(2)/\Gamma_2$ is the moduli space of topologically nontrivial stable $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ –bundles over X .

Our aim here is to extend the above result to the moduli spaces of vector bundles of rank r over X , where r is any prime number.

Fix a holomorphic line bundle $\xi \rightarrow X$ of degree d such that d is not a multiple of the fixed prime number r . Let $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles $E \rightarrow X$ of rank r with $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$. This moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ is an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension $(r^2 - 1)(g - 1)$. Let Γ denote the group of holomorphic line bundles L over X such that $L^{\otimes r}$ is trivial. This group Γ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2g}$. Any line bundle $L \in \Gamma$ defines a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ by sending any E to $E \otimes L$. These automorphisms together define a holomorphic action of Γ on $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$.

The corresponding orbifold $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)/\Gamma$ is the moduli space of stable $\text{PSL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ –bundles F over X such that the second Stiefel–Whitney class

$$w_2(F) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$

coincides with the image of d .

We compute the Chen–Ruan cohomology algebra of the orbifold $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)/\Gamma$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20, 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Chen–Ruan cohomology, Riemann surface, stable bundle, moduli space.

We note that the results of Section 2 and Section 3 are proved for all integers r . From Section 4 onwards we assume that r is a prime number.

2. GROUP ACTION AND COHOMOLOGY OF FIXED POINT SETS

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. Fix a holomorphic line bundle

$$(2.1) \quad \xi \longrightarrow X.$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the degree of ξ . Fix an integer $r \geq 2$ which is coprime to d . Let $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles $E \longrightarrow X$ of rank r and $\det E := \bigwedge^r E = \xi$. This moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ is an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension $(r^2 - 1)(g - 1)$. The group of all holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ will be denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r))$; it is known to be a finite group.

Define

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma := \{L \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \mid L^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_X\}.$$

It is a group under the operation of tensor product of line bundles. The order of Γ is r^{2g} . For any $L \in \Gamma$, let

$$(2.3) \quad \phi_L \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r))$$

be the automorphism defined by $E \longmapsto E \otimes L$. Let

$$(2.4) \quad \phi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r))$$

be the homomorphism defined by $L \longmapsto \phi_L$. We will describe the fixed point set

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L := \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{\phi_L} \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$$

of the automorphism ϕ_L .

Take any nontrivial line bundle $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$. Let ℓ denote the order of L . So ℓ is a divisor of r . Fix a nonzero holomorphic section

$$s : X \longrightarrow L^{\otimes \ell}.$$

Define

$$(2.6) \quad Y_L := \{z \in L \mid z^{\otimes \ell} \in \text{image}(s)\} \subset L.$$

Let

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$$

be the restriction of the natural projection $L \longrightarrow X$. Consider the action of the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* on the total space of L . The action of the subgroup

$$(2.8) \quad \mu_\ell := \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid c^\ell = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$$

preserves the complex curve Y_L defined in Eq. (2.6). In fact, Y_L is a principal μ_ℓ -bundle over X . Since any two nonzero holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes \ell}$ differ by multiplication with a nonzero constant scalar, the isomorphism class of the principal μ_ℓ -bundle $Y_L \longrightarrow X$ is independent of the choice of the section s . In particular, the isomorphism class of the complex curve Y_L depends only on L .

Since the order of L is exactly ℓ , the curve Y_L is irreducible.

Let $\mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundle bundles $F \rightarrow Y_L$ of rank r/ℓ and degree d . We have a holomorphic submersion

$$(2.9) \quad \psi : \mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(X)$$

that sends any F to $\bigwedge^r \gamma_{L*}F$.

Let $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ be the Galois group of the covering γ_L in Eq. (2.7); so $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$. For any $V \in \mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d)$, clearly

$$\rho^*V \in \mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d)$$

for all $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$. Therefore, $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ acts on $\mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d)$; the action of $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ sends any V to ρ^*V . We also note that $\psi(V) = \psi(\rho^*V)$, where ψ is the projection in Eq. (2.9). Therefore, the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d)$ preserves the subvariety

$$(2.10) \quad \psi^{-1}(\xi) \subset \mathcal{N}^{Y_L}(d),$$

where ξ is the line bundle in Eq. (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Take any nontrivial line bundle $L \in \Gamma$. The fixed point set $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$ (see Eq. (2.5)) is identified with the quotient variety $\psi^{-1}(\xi)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ (see Eq. (2.10)). The identification is defined by $F \mapsto \gamma_{L*}F$.*

Proof. Let $0_X \subset L$ be the image of the zero section of $L \rightarrow X$. Note that the pullback of L to the complement $L \setminus \{0_X\}$ has a tautological trivialization. Since $Y_L \subset L \setminus \{0_X\}$, the holomorphic line bundle γ_L^*L is canonically trivialized. This trivialization

$$(2.11) \quad \gamma_L^*L = \mathcal{O}_{Y_L}$$

defines a holomorphic isomorphism

$$(2.12) \quad V \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_L}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_L} = V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_L}} \gamma_L^*L$$

for any vector bundle $V \rightarrow Y_L$; the above isomorphism $V \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_L}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_L}$ sends any v to $v \otimes 1$. Using projection formula, the isomorphism in Eq. (2.12) gives an isomorphism

$$(2.13) \quad \gamma_{L*}V \rightarrow \gamma_{L*}(V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_L}} (\gamma_L^*L)) = (\gamma_{L*}V) \otimes L.$$

Note that

$$\gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*}V = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \rho^*V.$$

Hence $\gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*}V$ is semistable if V is so. This implies that if V is semistable, then the vector bundle $\gamma_{L*}V$ is also semistable. Since $d = \text{degree}(\xi)$ is coprime to r , any semistable vector bundle over X of rank r and degree d is stable. Therefore, $\gamma_{L*}V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$ for each $V \in \psi^{-1}(\xi)$. In view of the isomorphism in Eq. (2.13) we conclude that

$$\gamma_{L*}V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$$

if $V \in \psi^{-1}(\xi)$.

Since $\gamma_{L*}F = \gamma_{L*}(\rho^*F)$, for all $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$, we get a morphism

$$(2.14) \quad \widehat{\gamma} : \psi^{-1}(\xi)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$$

defined by $V \mapsto \gamma_{L*}V$.

To construct the inverse of the map $\widehat{\gamma}$, take any $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$. Fix an isomorphism

$$(2.15) \quad \theta' : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L.$$

Since E is stable, it follows that E is simple; this means that all automorphisms of E are constant scalar multiplications. Therefore, any two isomorphisms between E and $E \otimes L$ differ by multiplication with a constant scalar. Let

$$(2.16) \quad \theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}(E) \otimes L)$$

be the section defined by θ' in Eq. (2.15).

Consider the pullback

$$\gamma_L^* \theta \in H^0(Y_L, \gamma_L^* \text{End}(E) \otimes \gamma_L^* L)$$

of the section in Eq. (2.16). Using the canonical trivialization of the line bundle $\gamma_L^* L$ (see Eq. (2.11)), this section $\gamma_L^* \theta$ defines a holomorphic section

$$(2.17) \quad \theta_0 \in H^0(Y_L, \gamma_L^* \text{End}(E)) = H^0(Y_L, \text{End}(\gamma_L^* E)).$$

Since Y_L is irreducible (this was noted earlier) it does not admit any nonconstant holomorphic functions, hence the characteristic polynomial of $\theta_0(x)$ is independent of the point $x \in Y_L$. Therefore, the set of eigenvalues of $\theta_0(x)$ does not change as x moves over Y_L . Similarly, the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $\theta_0(x)$ is also independent of $x \in Y_L$. Therefore, for each eigenvalue λ of $\theta_0(x)$, we have the associated generalized eigenbundle

$$(2.18) \quad \gamma_L^* E \supset E^\lambda \longrightarrow Y_L$$

whose fiber over any $y \in Y_L$ is the generalized eigenspace of $\theta_0(y) \in \text{End}((\gamma_L^* E)_y)$ for the eigenvalue λ .

Recall that Y_L was constructed by fixing a section s of $L^{\otimes \ell}$ (see Eq. (2.6)); it was also noted that the isomorphism of the covering γ_L is independent of the choice of s . We choose s such that the ℓ -fold composition

$$(2.19) \quad (\theta')^\ell := \overbrace{\theta' \circ \cdots \circ \theta'}^{\ell\text{-times}} : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L^{\otimes \ell}$$

coincides with $\text{Id}_E \otimes s$, where θ' is the homomorphism in Eq. (2.15). Since the vector bundle E is simple, there is exactly one such section s . In fact,

$$s = \text{trace}((\theta')^\ell) / r.$$

We construct Y_L using this s .

With this construction of Y_L , we have

$$(\theta_0)^\ell := \overbrace{\theta_0 \circ \cdots \circ \theta_0}^{\ell\text{-times}} = \text{Id}_{\gamma_L^* E},$$

where θ_0 is constructed in Eq. (2.17). Consequently, the set of eigenvalues of $\theta_0(x)$ is contained in μ_ℓ (defined in Eq. (2.8)); we noted earlier that the set of eigenvalues of $\theta_0(x)$ along with their multiplicities are independent of $x \in Y_L$.

Since Y_L is a principal μ_ℓ -bundle over X , the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ is identified with μ_ℓ . Note that $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ has a natural action on the vector bundle $\gamma_L^* E$ which is a lift of

the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on Y_L . Examining the construction of θ_0 (see Eq. (2.17)) from θ' , it follows that the action of any

$$\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$$

on $\gamma_L^* E$ takes the eigenbundle E^λ (see Eq. (2.18)) to the eigenbundle $E^{\lambda \cdot \rho}$. This immediately implies that each element of μ_ℓ is an eigenvalue of $\theta_0(x)$, and the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of $\theta_0(x)$ coincide. Hence, the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $\theta_0(x)$ is r/ℓ .

Consider

$$(2.20) \quad E^1 \longrightarrow Y_L,$$

which is the eigenbundle for the eigenvalue $1 \in \mu_\ell$. Define

$$\tilde{E}^1 := \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \rho^* E^1.$$

There is a natural action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$ on \tilde{E}^1 . Since the action of any $\rho \in \mu_\ell$ on $\gamma_L^* E$ takes the eigenbundle E^λ to the eigenbundle $E^{\lambda \cdot \rho}$, it follows immediately that we have a $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ -equivariant identification

$$(2.21) \quad \gamma_L^* E = \tilde{E}^1 := \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \rho^* E^1.$$

In view of this $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ -equivariant isomorphism we conclude that the composition

$$E \longrightarrow \gamma_{L*} \gamma_L^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_{L*} \tilde{E}^1 = \gamma_{L*} \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \rho^* E^1 \right) \longrightarrow \gamma_{L*} E^1$$

is an isomorphism; here

$$E \longrightarrow \gamma_{L*} \gamma_L^* E$$

is the natural homomorphism and $\bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \rho^* E^1 \longrightarrow E^1$ is the projection to the direct summand corresponding to $\rho = 1$.

Since E is stable, and $\gamma_{L*} E^1 = E$, it follows that E^1 is stable. Indeed, if a subbundle $F \subset E^1$ violates the stability condition for E^1 , then the subbundle

$$\gamma_{L*} F \subset \gamma_{L*} E^1 = E$$

violates the stability for E . Let

$$(2.22) \quad \Phi : \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L \longrightarrow \psi^{-1}(\xi)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$$

be the morphism that sends any E to E^1 . Since E is isomorphic to $\gamma_{L*} E^1$, it follows that

$$\hat{\gamma} \circ \Phi = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L},$$

where $\hat{\gamma}$ is constructed in Eq. (2.14).

Therefore, to complete the proof of the lemma it suffices to show that for $F, F' \in \psi^{-1}(\xi)$, if

$$(2.23) \quad \gamma_{L*} F = \gamma_{L*} F',$$

then

$$(2.24) \quad F' = \tau^* F$$

holds for some $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$.

Take $F, F' \in \psi^{-1}(\xi)$ such that Eq. (2.23) holds. Note that

$$(2.25) \quad \bigoplus_{\tau, \eta \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \text{Hom}(\eta^*F, \tau^*F') = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \text{Hom}(F, \tau^*F')^{\oplus \ell} = \text{Hom}(\gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*} F, \gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*} F').$$

Since $\gamma_{L*} F = \gamma_{L*} F'$,

$$H^0(X, \text{Hom}(\gamma_{L*} F, \gamma_{L*} F')) \neq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$H^0(Y_L, \text{Hom}(\gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*} F, \gamma_L^* \gamma_{L*} F')) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, from Eq. (2.25) we conclude that there is some $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ such that

$$(2.26) \quad H^0(Y_L, \text{Hom}(F, \tau^*F')) \neq 0.$$

Since both F and τ^*F' are stable vector bundles with

$$\frac{\text{degree}(F)}{\text{rank}(F)} = \frac{\text{degree}(\tau^*F')}{\text{rank}(\tau^*F')},$$

from Eq. (2.26) we conclude that the vector bundle F is isomorphic to τ^*F' . In other words, Eq. (2.24) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

In Lemma 3.5 we will show that the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\psi^{-1}(\xi)$ is free.

In the next section we will investigate the action of the isotropy subgroups on the tangent bundle for the action of Γ of $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$.

3. ACTION ON THE TANGENT BUNDLE

Fix any $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$. Take any

$$E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$$

(see Eq. (2.5)). Fix an isomorphism θ' as in Eq. (2.15). Let s be the unique section of $L^{\otimes \ell}$ such that the homomorphism $(\theta')^\ell$ in Eq. (2.19) coincides with $\text{Id}_E \otimes s$. As we noted earlier, $s = \text{trace}((\theta')^\ell)/r$. Let

$$\gamma_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$$

be the covering Y_L constructed as in Eq. (2.6) using this section s . Recall that $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$, where ℓ is the order of L .

Let

$$(3.1) \quad F := E^1 \longrightarrow Y_L$$

be the vector bundle constructed in Eq. (2.20). The decomposition of $\gamma_L^* E$ in Eq. (2.21) yields the following decomposition of the pullback $\gamma_L^* \text{End}(E) = \text{End}(\gamma_L^* E)$:

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma_L^* \text{End}(E) = \bigoplus_{t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \text{Hom}(u^* F, (ut)^* F) = \bigoplus_{t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} (u^* F)^\vee \otimes (ut)^* F$$

(see also Eq. (2.25)).

Note that for each $t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$, the vector bundle

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_t := \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \text{Hom}(u^* F, (ut)^* F) \longrightarrow Y_L$$

in Eq. (3.2) is left invariant by the natural action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on the vector bundle $\gamma_L^* \text{End}(E)$. Therefore, \mathcal{E}_t descends to X . Let

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_t \longrightarrow X$$

be the descent of \mathcal{E}_t . So

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_t = \gamma_{L*} \text{Hom}(F, t^* F),$$

and

$$\gamma_L^* \mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{E}_t.$$

The decomposition

$$\gamma_L^* \text{End}(E) = \bigoplus_{t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \mathcal{E}_t$$

in Eq. (3.2) is preserved by the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$. Therefore, this decomposition descends to the following decomposition:

$$(3.6) \quad \text{End}(E) = \bigoplus_{t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \mathcal{F}_t.$$

We will now describe the differential $d\phi_L(E)$, where ϕ_L is the automorphism in Eq. (2.3), and $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$.

Recall that we fixed an isomorphism $\theta' : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L$ as in Eq. (2.15). This isomorphism θ' induces an isomorphism of the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$ with $\text{End}(E \otimes L)$. Since

$$\text{End}(E) = E \otimes E^\vee = (E \otimes L) \otimes (E \otimes L)^\vee = \text{End}(E \otimes L),$$

the isomorphism of $\text{End}(E)$ with $\text{End}(E \otimes L)$ defined by θ' gives an automorphism of $\text{End}(E)$. Let

$$(3.7) \quad \widehat{\theta} : \text{End}(E) \longrightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

be this automorphism constructed from θ' . Since any two isomorphisms between E and $E \otimes L$ differ by a constant scalar, the automorphism $\widehat{\theta}$ is independent of the choice of θ' .

Let

$$\text{ad}(E) \subset \text{End}(E)$$

be the holomorphic subbundle of corank one given by the sheaf of trace zero endomorphisms. Clearly,

$$\widehat{\theta}(\text{ad}(E)) \subset \text{ad}(E).$$

We note that $T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r) = H^1(X, \text{ad}(E))$; here T denotes the holomorphic tangent bundle. Let

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{\theta} : H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{ad}(E))$$

be the automorphism induced by $\widehat{\theta}$.

From the construction of ϕ_L it follows that the differential

$$(3.9) \quad d\phi_L(E) : T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r) \longrightarrow T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$$

coincides with $\bar{\theta}$ constructed in Eq. (3.8).

In the proof of Lemma 2.1 we observed that the pullback to Y_L of the isomorphism θ' coincides with the isomorphism

$$\gamma_L^* E \longrightarrow \gamma_L^* E \otimes \gamma_L^* L$$

obtained by tensoring with the tautological section of $\gamma_L^* L$ (see Eq. (2.11)). Consider the automorphism $\widehat{\theta}$ in Eq. (3.7) induced by θ' . From the above description of θ' it follows immediately that $\widehat{\theta}$ acts on the subbundle \mathcal{E}_t (see Eq. (3.3)) as multiplication by

$$t \in \mu_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Take any*

$$(3.10) \quad t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}.$$

(ℓ is the order of L ; see Eq. (2.8) for μ_ℓ). Consider \mathcal{F}_t constructed in Eq. (3.4) (see also Eq. (3.6)). Then

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{F}_t \subset \text{ad}(E).$$

Proof. We first note that $\dim H^0(X, \text{End}(E)) = 1$ because E is stable. On the other hand,

$$\dim H^0(X, \gamma_{L^*} \text{Hom}(F, \text{Id}^* F)) \geq 1,$$

where $\text{Id} = 1 \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$ is the identity element. Therefore, from Eq. (3.5) and Eq. (3.6),

$$(3.12) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = 0,$$

where t is the element in Eq. (3.10).

Remark 3.2. Since the vector bundle E is stable, it admits a unique Hermitian–Einstein connection. The connection on $\text{End}(E)$ induced by a Hermitian–Einstein connection on E is also Hermitian–Einstein. Therefore, the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is polystable of degree zero. \square

Continuing with the proof of Lemma 3.1, since $\text{End}(E)$ is polystable of degree zero, and \mathcal{F}_t is a direct summand of $\text{End}(E)$ (see Eq. (3.5) and Eq. (3.6)), it follows that \mathcal{F}_t is also polystable of degree zero. Consider the trace map

$$\text{End}(E) \supset \mathcal{F}_t \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Since \mathcal{F}_t is polystable of degree zero, if the above trace homomorphism on \mathcal{F}_t is nonzero, then \mathcal{O}_X is a direct summand of \mathcal{F}_t . If \mathcal{O}_X is a direct summand of \mathcal{F}_t , then Eq. (3.12) is contradicted. Hence the trace map on \mathcal{F}_t vanishes identically. This implies that Eq. (3.11) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Consider the tautological trivialization of the line bundle $\gamma_L^* L$ (see Eq. (2.11)). The action of any element $t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_\ell$ takes the tautological section of $\gamma_L^* L$ to t^{-1} -times the tautological section. Using this it follows immediately that t acts on \mathcal{E}_t in Eq. (3.3) as multiplication by t . Now from the construction of the automorphism $\widehat{\theta}$ in Eq. (3.7) it follows that $\widehat{\theta}$ acts on \mathcal{F}_t as multiplication by t . In view of this and Eq. (3.11), we conclude that for all $t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}$, the automorphism $\bar{\theta}$ in Eq. (3.8) acts on the subspace

$$(3.13) \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t) \subset H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) = T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$$

as multiplication by t .

We will calculate the dimension of the subspace $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t)$ in Eq. (3.13), where $t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}$. Note that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}_t) = r^2/\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \text{degree}(\mathcal{F}_t) = 0.$$

Since $H^0(X, \text{ad}(E)) = 0$, from Eq. (3.11) we have

$$(3.14) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = 0.$$

Therefore, the Riemann–Roch theorem says that

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = r^2(g-1)/\ell.$$

Hence, we have proved the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Take any $t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \text{ad}(E)$, and the automorphism $\bar{\theta}$ in Eq. (3.8) acts on the subspace*

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t) \subset H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) = T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$$

as multiplication by t . Also,

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = r^2(g-1)/\ell.$$

Now we set $t = 1 \in \mu_\ell = \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$. The automorphism $\hat{\theta}$ of $\text{End}(E)$ acts trivially on the subbundle $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \text{End}(E)$. Therefore, $\bar{\theta}$ acts trivially on the subspace

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \cap H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) \subset H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) = T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r).$$

From Eq. (3.6) and Eq. (3.11),

$$\text{ad}(E) = (\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \text{ad}(E)) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}} \mathcal{F}_\tau \right).$$

Consequently, the dimension of the subspace of $H^1(X, \text{ad}(E))$ on which $\bar{\theta}$ in Eq. (3.8) acts as the identity map is

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^1(X, \text{ad}(E)) - \sum_{t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}} \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= (r^2 - 1)(g - 1) - \frac{(\ell - 1)r^2(g - 1)}{\ell} = \frac{r^2(g - 1)}{\ell} - g + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.3 we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *The eigenvalues of the differential*

$$d\phi_L(E) : T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r) \longrightarrow T_E \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$$

are μ_ℓ . For any $t \in \mu_\ell \setminus \{1\}$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue t is $r^2(g-1)/\ell$. The multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is $1 - g + r^2(g-1)/\ell$.

Lemma 3.5. *The action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\psi^{-1}(\xi)$ in Lemma 2.1 is free.*

Proof. In Eq. (3.14) we saw that $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = 0$ for all $t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) \setminus \{1\}$. Now, from Eq. (3.5) it follows that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_t) = H^0(Y_L, \text{Hom}(F, t^*F)) = 0$$

for all $t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) \setminus \{1\}$. Since $F = E^1$ (see Eq. (3.1)), this implies that for each $t \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L) \setminus \{1\}$, the vector bundle E^1 is not isomorphic to t^*E^1 . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4. INTERSECTION OF FIXED POINT SETS

Henceforth, we will always assume that r is a prime number. As before, $d = \text{degree}(\xi)$ is assumed to be coprime to r .

We note that the group Γ (see Eq. (2.2)) is a vector space over the field $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. For any $J, L \in \Gamma$, and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$,

$$J + L := J \otimes L \quad \text{and} \quad nL := L^{\otimes n}.$$

For a line bundle L_0 over X , by $L_0^{\otimes 0}$ we will denote the trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_X .

Take two linearly independent elements

$$(4.1) \quad J, L \in \Gamma.$$

We note that the line bundles $J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}$, $i, j \in [1, r-1]$, are all distinct and nontrivial.

Take any

$$E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$$

(see Eq. (2.5)), where J and L are, as in Eq. (4.1), linearly independent. Fix isomorphisms

$$(4.2) \quad \theta_1 : E \longrightarrow E \otimes J \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L.$$

The isomorphism θ_1 (respectively, θ_2) gives an inclusion of the line bundle $J^\vee = J^{\otimes(r-1)}$ (respectively, $L^\vee = L^{\otimes(r-1)}$) in $\text{End}(E) = E \otimes E^\vee$. Note that since r is a prime number, any $J^{\otimes i}$ (respectively, $L^{\otimes i}$) is a tensor power of J^\vee (respectively, L^\vee). Using the associative algebra structure of the fibers of $\text{End}(E)$ defined by composition of homomorphisms, the above homomorphisms

$$J^\vee \longrightarrow \text{End}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad L^\vee \longrightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

give an inclusion of $(J^\vee)^{\otimes i} \otimes (L^\vee)^{\otimes j}$ in $\text{End}(E)$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. Therefore, we get an inclusion of $J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}$ in $\text{End}(E)$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. The line bundle $J^{\otimes 0} \otimes L^{\otimes 0} = \mathcal{O}_X$ sits inside $\text{End}(E)$ as scalar multiplications.

Let

$$(4.3) \quad \Theta : \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{r-1} J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j} \longrightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

be the homomorphism constructed as above.

Lemma 4.1. *The homomorphism Θ in Eq. (4.3) is a holomorphic isomorphism of vector bundles.*

Proof. Take any proper subset

$$S \subset [0, r-1] \times [0, r-1],$$

and also take any $(i_0, j_0) \in [0, r-1] \times [0, r-1] \setminus S$ in the complement. Assume that the restriction of the homomorphism Θ in Eq. (4.3) to

$$W_S := \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in S} J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}$$

is injective. Note that W_S is polystable of degree zero, and in Remark 3.2 we observed that the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is polystable. Since both W_S and $\text{End}(E)$ are polystable vector bundles of degree zero, it follows that $\Theta(W_S)$ is a subbundle of $\text{End}(E)$. Furthermore, there is a holomorphic subbundle

$$W'_S \subset \text{End}(E)$$

which is a direct summand of $\Theta(W_S)$. In particular,

$$(4.4) \quad \text{End}(E) = \Theta(W_S) \oplus W'_S.$$

We have

$$H^0(X, \text{Hom}(J^{\otimes i_0} \otimes L^{\otimes j_0}, W_S)) = 0$$

because $J^{\otimes i_0} \otimes L^{\otimes j_0}$ is distinct from $J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}$ for all $(i, j) \in S$. Therefore, the projection of $J^{\otimes i_0} \otimes L^{\otimes j_0}$ to the direct summand $\Theta(W_S) \subset \text{End}(E)$ in Eq. (4.4) vanishes identically. This implies that

$$\Theta(J^{\otimes i_0} \otimes L^{\otimes j_0}) \subset W'_S.$$

Hence Θ makes $W_S \oplus (J^{\otimes i_0} \otimes L^{\otimes j_0})$ a subbundle of $\text{End}(E)$.

Now, using induction we conclude that Θ in Eq. (4.3) is a pointwise injective homomorphism of vector bundles. Since

$$r^2 = \text{rank}(\text{End}(E)) = \text{rank}\left(\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{r-1} J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}\right),$$

it follows that Θ is an isomorphism of vector bundles. \square

Take two vector bundles $E, F \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$. If the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}(F)$, then at least one of the following two statements is valid:

(1) There is a line bundle $\zeta \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad E = F \otimes \zeta.$$

(2) There is a line bundle $\zeta \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad E = F^\vee \otimes \zeta.$$

Since $\bigwedge^r E = \bigwedge^r F$, taking the r -th exterior power of both sides of Eq. (4.5) it follows that $\zeta^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Similarly, since $\bigwedge^r E = \bigwedge^r F = \xi$, taking the r -th exterior power of both sides of Eq. (4.6) it follows that $\zeta^{\otimes r} = \xi^{\otimes 2}$. Recall that r is a prime number, and $\text{degree}(\xi)$ is not a multiple of r . Hence, if Eq. (4.6) holds, then $r = 2$.

Therefore, Lemma 4.1 has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2. *Take two linearly independent elements $J, L \in \Gamma$. If*

$$E, F \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L,$$

then at least one of the following two statements is valid:

- (1) *There is a line bundle $\zeta \in \Gamma$ such that $F \otimes \zeta$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E .*
- (2) *There is a line bundle $\zeta \in \Gamma$ such that $F^\vee \otimes \zeta$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E .*

If the second statement holds, then $r = 2$.

Remark 4.3. Take a line bundle $L \in \Gamma$, and also take a vector bundle $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$. Let

$$f_0 : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L$$

be a holomorphic isomorphism.

- Take any $\zeta \in \Gamma$. Then

$$f_0 \otimes \text{Id}_\zeta : E \otimes \zeta \longrightarrow E \otimes L \otimes \zeta = E \otimes \zeta \otimes L$$

is also an isomorphism. Hence $E \otimes \zeta \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$. Therefore, if

$$E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L,$$

and $\zeta \in \Gamma$, then

$$E \otimes \zeta \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L.$$

- Consider the isomorphism

$$(4.7) \quad f_0^\vee : E^\vee \otimes L^\vee = (E \otimes L)^\vee \longrightarrow E^\vee.$$

For any holomorphic line bundle $\eta \longrightarrow X$, tensoring the isomorphism in Eq. (4.7) by

$$\text{Id}_{L \otimes \eta} : L \otimes \eta \longrightarrow L \otimes \eta$$

we get an isomorphism

$$E^\vee \otimes \eta \longrightarrow E^\vee \otimes L \otimes \eta = E^\vee \otimes \eta \otimes L.$$

Therefore, if $\bigwedge^r(E^\vee \otimes \eta) = \xi$, then $E^\vee \otimes \eta \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$. Now using the first part of the remark we conclude the following: if

$$E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L,$$

and $\bigwedge^r(E^\vee \otimes \eta) = \xi$, then

$$E^\vee \otimes \eta \otimes \zeta \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$$

for all $\zeta \in \Gamma$.

□

Remark 4.4. Assume that $r = 2$. Take any $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(2)$. Since $\bigwedge^2 E = \xi$, contracting both sides by E^\vee it follows that $E = E^\vee \otimes \xi$. \square

As before, take two linearly independent elements $J, L \in \Gamma$. Consider the coverings

$$\gamma_J : Y_J \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$$

constructed as in Eq. (2.7) from J and L respectively. Since the Galois groups of both γ_J and γ_L are $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, we get surjective homomorphisms

$$(4.8) \quad \rho_J : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \rho_L : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Using ρ_J and ρ_L , we will construct a homomorphism from $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$.

Let D be the $r \times r$ diagonal matrix whose (j, j) -th entry is $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/r)$. Let

$$(4.10) \quad \rho'_1 : \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

be the homomorphism that sends any τ to the image of D^τ in $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$.

Let

$$R \in \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

be the matrix defined by $R(e_i) = e_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [1, r-1]$ and $R(e_r) = e_1$, where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^r$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^r . Let

$$(4.11) \quad \rho'_2 : \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

be the homomorphism that sends any τ to the image of R^τ in $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$. Note that the image of R , in $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, commutes with the image of the above defined diagonal matrix D . Consequently, the images of the two homomorphisms ρ'_1 and ρ'_2 commute.

Let

$$(4.12) \quad \Psi : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

be the homomorphism defined by $\gamma \mapsto \rho'_1(\rho_J(\gamma))\rho'_2(\rho_L(\gamma))$, where ρ_J (respectively, ρ_L) is defined in Eq. (4.8) (respectively, Eq. (4.9)), and ρ'_1 (respectively, ρ'_2) is defined in Eq. (4.10) (respectively, Eq. (4.11)). Since the images of ρ'_1 and ρ'_2 commute, the map Ψ is indeed a homomorphism.

The group $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a quotient of the fundamental group of X , and $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ has the standard action on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Hence any homomorphism from $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ defines a flat projective bundle over X of relative dimension $r - 1$. Let

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{P}_{J,L} \longrightarrow X$$

be the flat projective bundle given by the homomorphism Ψ in Eq. (4.12).

Let $\underline{\text{GL}}(r, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $\underline{\text{PGL}}(r, \mathbb{C})$) be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$). We have the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$(4.14) \quad e \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{GL}}(r, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \underline{\text{PGL}}(r, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow e$$

on X , where $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}}$ is the sheaf of locally constant functions with values in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$; for notational convenience, we will denote the sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$(4.15) \quad \chi : H^1(X, \underline{\mathrm{PGL}}(r, \mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$

be the homomorphism in the exact sequence of cohomologies associated to the short exact sequence in Eq. (4.14). The projective bundle $\mathcal{P}_{J,L}$ in Eq. (4.13) defines an element

$$c(\mathcal{P}_{J,L}) \in H^1(X, \underline{\mathrm{PGL}}(r, \mathbb{C})).$$

Let

$$(4.16) \quad A_{J,L} := \chi(c(\mathcal{P}_{J,L})) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$

be the cohomology class, where χ is the homomorphism in Eq. (4.15).

A homomorphism $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ defines a cohomology class in $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$(4.17) \quad \bar{\rho}_J, \bar{\rho}_L \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$$

be the cohomology classes corresponding to the homomorphisms ρ_J and ρ_L constructed in Eq. (4.8) and Eq. (4.9) respectively. Let

$$\bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$$

be the cup product. It can be checked that

$$(4.18) \quad A_{J,L} = \bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $A_{J,L}$ is constructed in Eq. (4.16).

Given any holomorphic projective bundle \mathbb{P} over X , there is a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow X$ such that \mathbb{P} is isomorphic to the projective bundle over X parametrizing the lines in the fibers of \mathcal{V} . Let

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow X$$

be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r such that the holomorphic projective bundle over X parametrizing lines in the fibers of \mathcal{W} is holomorphically isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathcal{P}_{J,L}$ in Eq. (4.13).

Proposition 4.5. *The vector bundle \mathcal{W} in Eq. (4.19) is stable.*

The image of $\mathrm{degree}(\mathcal{W}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ coincides with $A_{J,L}$ in Eq. (4.16).

Also,

$$(4.20) \quad \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{r-1} J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to both $\mathcal{W} \otimes J$ and $\mathcal{W} \otimes L$.

Proof. Consider the homomorphism Ψ in Eq. (4.12). Its image is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, and hence $\Psi(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ lies inside a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$. Also, the subgroup

$$\Psi(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})) \subset \mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

is irreducible in following sense. Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on the projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ that parametrizes all lines in \mathbb{C}^r . The action of the subgroup $\Psi(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ leaves invariant no proper linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Since $\Psi(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ lying inside a maximal compact subgroup, it follows that the principal $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over X defined by the projective bundle $\mathcal{P}_{J,L}$ (see Eq. (4.13)) is stable [10, p. 146, Theorem 7.1]. Consequently, the corresponding vector bundle \mathcal{W} in Eq. (4.19) is stable.

From the definition of $A_{J,L}$ in Eq. (4.16) it follows that

$$\mathrm{degree}(\mathcal{W}) \equiv A_{J,L} \pmod{r}.$$

To construct the isomorphism in Eq. (4.20), consider the homomorphism

$$h : \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

defined by $n \longmapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}n/r)$. We note that $h \circ \rho_J$ is a character of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where ρ_J is the homomorphism in Eq. (4.8). Any character of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ defines a flat complex line bundle over X (since $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a quotient the fundamental group of X , a character of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is also a character of the fundamental group, and hence any character of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ defines a flat complex line bundle over X). The holomorphic line bundle corresponding to the character $h \circ \rho_J$ is J itself. Similarly, the holomorphic line bundle over X corresponding to the character $h \circ \rho_L$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where ρ_L is the homomorphism in Eq. (4.9), is identified with L .

Let $\mathfrak{m}(J)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{m}(L)$) be the one-dimensional complex $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module defined by the character $h \circ \rho_J$ (respectively, $h \circ \rho_L$) of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The holomorphic line bundle over X associated to the $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $\mathfrak{m}(J)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{m}(L)$) coincides with the holomorphic line bundle corresponding to the character $h \circ \rho_J$ (respectively, $h \circ \rho_L$) of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, the holomorphic line bundle over X associated to the $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $\mathfrak{m}(J)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{m}(L)$) coincides with J (respectively, L).

On the other hand, consider the adjoint action of $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ on the vector space $\mathrm{M}(r, \mathbb{C})$ of $r \times r$ -matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} . Using this action, and the homomorphism Ψ constructed in Eq. (4.12), the vector space $\mathrm{M}(r, \mathbb{C})$ becomes a $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module. This $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $\mathrm{M}(r, \mathbb{C})$ has the following decomposition:

$$(4.21) \quad \mathrm{M}(r, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} \mathfrak{m}(J)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathfrak{m}(L)^{\otimes j}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}(J)$ and $\mathfrak{m}(L)$ are the one-dimensional $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -modules defined above.

The holomorphic vector bundle over X associated to the above mentioned $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $\mathrm{M}(r, \mathbb{C})$ is identified with the vector bundle $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W})$, where \mathcal{W} is the vector bundle in Eq. (4.19). On the other hand, we noted earlier that the holomorphic line bundle over X associated to the $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $\mathfrak{m}(J)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{m}(L)$) is J (respectively, L). Therefore, fixing an isomorphism as in Eq. (4.21) we obtain an isomorphism as in Eq. (4.20).

A nonzero holomorphic homomorphism

$$(4.22) \quad p : \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow J$$

gives a nonzero holomorphic section of $End(\mathcal{W})^\vee \otimes J = End(\mathcal{W}) \otimes J$. Hence p gives a nonzero holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles

$$\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes J.$$

Since the vector bundle \mathcal{W} is stable, and $\text{degree}(J) = 0$, any nonzero homomorphism $\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes J$ must be an isomorphism.

The decomposition in Eq. (4.20) ensures that a nonzero homomorphism p as in Eq. (4.22) exists. Hence we conclude that \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W} \otimes J$. Similarly, the vector bundle $\mathcal{W} \otimes L$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{W} . This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$ is the fixed point set defined in Eq. (2.5).

Lemma 4.6. *Take two linearly independent elements $J, L \in \Gamma$. Let*

$$\bar{\rho}_J, \bar{\rho}_L \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$$

be the corresponding cohomology classes constructed as in Eq. (4.17). Then

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L = \emptyset$$

if and only the cup product $\bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

If $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L \neq \emptyset$, then

$$(4.23) \quad \#(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L) = r^{2g-2}.$$

Proof. First assume that

$$(4.24) \quad \bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \equiv d := \text{degree}(\xi) \pmod{r}.$$

Then from the second part of Proposition 4.5 we know that

$$\text{degree}(\mathcal{W}) = ar + d$$

for some integer a , where \mathcal{W} is the vector bundle in Eq. (4.19). Since \mathcal{W} is also stable (see the first part of Proposition 4.5), there is a holomorphic line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow X$$

of degree $-a$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_0 := \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r).$$

The vector bundle $\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow X$ is isomorphic to both $\mathcal{W} \otimes J$ and $\mathcal{W} \otimes L$ (see Proposition 4.5). Hence \mathcal{W}_0 is isomorphic to both $\mathcal{W}_0 \otimes J$ and $\mathcal{W}_0 \otimes L$. Indeed, for any isomorphism

$$f_0 : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes J,$$

the homomorphism

$$f_0 \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}_0} : \mathcal{W}_0 := \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes J \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes J = \mathcal{W}_0 \otimes J$$

is an isomorphism; similarly, \mathcal{W}_0 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}_0 \otimes L$. In other words, we have

$$(4.25) \quad \mathcal{W}_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L.$$

Now assume that

$$(4.26) \quad \delta := \bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \neq 0,$$

where $\bar{\rho}_J$ and $\bar{\rho}_L$ are as in Eq. (4.24). Fix a positive integer n_0 such that

$$(4.27) \quad d \equiv n_0 \delta \pmod{r}.$$

We note that such an integer n_0 exists because r is a prime number, $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r}$, and $\delta \not\equiv 0$. Replace the line bundle J by

$$(4.28) \quad J_0 = J^{\otimes n_0},$$

and keep the line bundle L unchanged. From Eq. (4.26) and Eq. (4.27),

$$(4.29) \quad \bar{\rho}_{J_0} \cup \bar{\rho}_L \equiv d \pmod{r},$$

where $\bar{\rho}_{J_0} \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$ is the cohomology class constructed as in Eq. (4.17) for the line bundle J_0 in Eq. (4.28).

We noted above that from Eq. (4.29) it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{J_0} \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L \neq \emptyset$$

(see Eq. (4.25)). Take any

$$(4.30) \quad V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{J_0} \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L.$$

Since V is isomorphic to $V \otimes J_0$, it follows that V is isomorphic to $V \otimes J_0^{\otimes n}$ for all n . Indeed, if

$$f : V \longrightarrow V \otimes J_0$$

is an isomorphism, then the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{f} V \otimes J_0 \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{Id}_{J_0}} V \otimes J_0^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{Id}_{J_0^{\otimes 2}}} V \otimes J_0^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{Id}_{J_0^{\otimes 3}}} \dots \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{Id}_{J_0^{\otimes (n-1)}}} V \otimes J_0^{\otimes n}$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$.

Take a positive integer m_0 such that $m_0 n_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$, where n_0 is the integer in Eq. (4.27). Therefore, the line bundle $J_0^{\otimes m_0}$ is isomorphic to J (see Eq. (4.28)). Since V is isomorphic to $V \otimes J_0^{\otimes m_0} = V \otimes J$, we conclude that

$$V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J.$$

Hence from Eq. (4.30),

$$V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L.$$

Therefore, we have proved that

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L \neq \emptyset$$

if $\bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L \neq 0$.

To prove the converse, assume that

$$(4.31) \quad \bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L = 0.$$

If $E \longrightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle such that

$$\text{End}(E) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{r-1} J^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\otimes j},$$

then from Eq. (4.31) it follows that $\text{degree}(E) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$. Since $d := \text{degree}(\xi)$ is coprime to r , this implies that

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L = \emptyset.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L = \emptyset$$

if and only $\bar{\rho}_J \cup \bar{\rho}_L = 0$.

To prove the last statement in the lemma, assume that

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L \neq \emptyset.$$

Fix a vector bundle $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$. Then from Corollary 4.2 and Remark 4.3 we know that $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^J \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$ is the orbit of E under the action of Γ on $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$. The isotropy subgroup $\Gamma_E \subset \Gamma$ for E is generated by J and L . Now, Eq. (4.23) holds because $\#\Gamma = r^{2g}$, and the order of the subgroup of Γ generated by J and L is r^2 . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

5. THE COHOMOLOGIES

The cohomology groups $H^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r), \mathbb{Q})$, $i \geq 0$, are computed in [7], [2]. The cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r), \mathbb{Q})$ is computed by Kirwan in [8].

Consider the action of Γ on $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r), \mathbb{Q})$ given by the action of Γ on $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)$. It is known that this action is trivial [7, p. 220, Theorem 1]. Therefore, the cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r), \mathbb{Q})$ is identified with the cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$.

Take any nontrivial line bundle $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$. Since r is a prime number, the order of L is r . Let

$$\gamma_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$$

be the Galois covering of degree r constructed as in Eq. (2.7). Let

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L) \subset \text{Pic}^d(Y_L)$$

be the Prym variety parametrizing all line bundles $\eta \longrightarrow Y_L$ such that

$$\det \gamma_{L*} \eta := \bigwedge^r (\gamma_{L*} \eta) = \xi.$$

Note that the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ acts on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$. The action of $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ sends any line bundle η to $\tau^* \eta$. From Lemma 2.1 we know that

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L.$$

We also know that the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ is free (see Lemma 3.5).

The group Γ acts on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$. The action of any $\zeta \in \Gamma$ is given by the map $\eta \longmapsto \eta \otimes \gamma_L^* \zeta$; note that by the projection formula,

$$\bigwedge^r \gamma_{L*} (\eta \otimes \gamma_L^* \zeta) = \left(\bigwedge^r \gamma_{L*} \eta \right) \otimes \zeta^{\otimes r} = \bigwedge^r \gamma_{L*} \eta,$$

hence $\eta \otimes \gamma_L^* \zeta \in \text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ if $\eta \in \text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$.

It is straight-forward to check that the actions of Γ and $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ commute.

For any $i \geq 0$, consider the action of Γ on $H^i(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{Q})$ given by the above action of Γ on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$. Since the action of Γ on $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ is through translations, it follows immediately that this action of Γ on $H^i(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{Q})$ is the trivial one.

We will now recall the topological model of a cyclic covering of X of degree r .

The isomorphism classes of unramified cyclic coverings

$$Y \longrightarrow X$$

of degree r with Y connected are parametrized by the complement

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_0 := H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\},$$

because the space of all the surjective homomorphisms

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$

is parametrized by $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_0$. Let $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ denote the group of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of X . This group $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ has a natural action on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$. The action of $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_0$ can be shown to be transitive. To prove this, let $\text{Aut}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ denote the group of automorphisms of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the cup product; so $\text{Aut}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ can be identified with the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ after fixing a symplectic basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is known that the natural homomorphism

$$\text{Diff}^+(X) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

is surjective [6, p. 114]. On the other hand, it is easy to check that the natural action of $\text{Aut}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_0$ is transitive. Hence, we conclude that the action of $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})_0$ is transitive.

Therefore, given two unramified cyclic coverings

$$\pi_1 : Y_1 \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 : Y_2 \longrightarrow X$$

of degree r with both Y_1 and Y_2 connected, there is a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : X \longrightarrow X$$

such that φ pulls back the covering π_2 to π_1 .

One example of a cyclic covering of degree r is the following:

Let X_0 be a compact surface of genus one, and let X_1 be a compact surface of genus $g-1$. Take an orientation preserving free action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on X_0 . Let X'_0 be the complement of r open disks in X_0 such that X'_0 is preserved by the action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on X_0 . Let X'_1 be the complement of a closed disk in X_1 . Now attach r copies of X'_1 to X'_0 along the r boundary circles of X'_0 . The resulting compact connected surface of genus $r(g-1) + 1$ will be denoted by Y . The action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on X'_0 and the permutation action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on the r copies of X'_1 together define an action of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ on Y . This action is clearly free, and the quotient is of genus g . Up to diffeomorphisms of $Y/(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$, all connected unramified cyclic coverings of $Y/(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$ of degree r coincide with the covering

$$(5.3) \quad Y \longrightarrow Y/(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, the topological model of the covering γ_L in Eq. (2.7) is the covering in Eq. (5.3).

Using this model of γ_L it follows that $\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L)$ (defined in Eq. (5.1)) is topologically isomorphic to a real torus of dimension $2(r-1)(g-1)$. The action of the Galois group

$\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$ can also be calculated using the above topological model of the covering γ_L .

To calculate the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$, consider the group μ_r defined in Eq. (2.8), which is identified with $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$. Let

$$\widehat{\mu}_r := \text{Hom}(\mu_r, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

be the group of characters of μ_r . It is a cyclic group of order r generated by the tautological character of μ_r defined by the inclusion of μ_r in \mathbb{C}^* .

Each nontrivial element of $\widehat{\mu}_r$ is an eigen-character of μ_r for the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_r$ on $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$, and furthermore, the multiplicity of each eigen-character is $2(g-1)$.

To prove the above assertion, consider the covering in Eq. (5.3). We noted earlier that it is the topological model of the covering γ_L . Let

$$(5.4) \quad A : H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})$$

be the homomorphism defined by

$$(c_1, \dots, c_r) \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^r c_j;$$

the surface X_1 is the one used in the construction of the covering in Eq. (5.3). The complex vector space $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$ is identified with the kernel of the homomorphism

$$H^1(\text{Pic}^d(Y_L), \mathbb{C}) = H^1(Y_L, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})\mathbb{C}$$

that sends any $c \in H^1(Y_L, \mathbb{C})$ to the class in

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C})\mathbb{C} = H^1(Y_L, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)}$$

defined by $\sum_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)} \tau^* c$. We have

$$H^1(\text{Pic}^d(Y_L), \mathbb{C}) = H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \bigoplus H^1(X_0, \mathbb{C})$$

(X_0 is the surface of genus one in the construction of the covering in Eq. (5.3)), and

$$H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C}) = \text{kernel}(A) \subset H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \subset H^1(\text{Pic}^d(Y_L), \mathbb{C}),$$

where A is the homomorphism in Eq. (5.4). The action of

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r) \in \mu_r = \text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$$

on $H^1(\text{Pic}^d(Y_L), \mathbb{C}) = H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \bigoplus H^1(X_0, \mathbb{C})$ is given by the automorphism defined by

$$(c_1, \dots, c_r; d) \longmapsto (c_2, \dots, c_r, c_1; d) \in H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \bigoplus H^1(X_0, \mathbb{C}).$$

Also, $\dim H^1(X_1, \mathbb{C}) = 2(g-1)$. It is now easy to see that each nontrivial character of μ_r is an eigen-character of multiplicity $2(g-1)$ for the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L) = \mu_r$ on $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$.

The cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$ is identified with the exterior algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigwedge^i H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, from the above description of the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on $H^1(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$ we obtain a description of the action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_L)$ on the cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\text{Prym}_\xi(\gamma_L), \mathbb{C})$.

5.1. The Chen–Ruan cohomology. The case of $r = 2$ was already considered in [3]. Here we will assume that $r \geq 3$.

The i -th Chen–Ruan cohomology group is the degree shifted direct sum

$$(5.5) \quad H_{CR}^i(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{L \in \Gamma} H^{i-2\iota(L)}(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The degree shifting number $\iota(L)$ is obtained from Proposition 3.4:

$$(5.6) \quad \iota(L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L = \mathcal{O}_X \\ \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - r)(g - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As in [3], we will denote $H^{*+2\iota(L)}(\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ by $A^*(L)$. Then for $\alpha_1 \in A^p(L_1)$ and $\alpha_2 \in A^q(L_2)$, the Chen–Ruan product

$$\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \in A^{p+q}(L_1 \otimes L_2)$$

is defined via the relation

$$(5.7) \quad \langle \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{S}/\Gamma} e_1^* \alpha_1 \wedge e_2^* \alpha_2 \wedge e_3^* \alpha_3 \wedge c_{\text{top}} \mathcal{F}$$

for all $\alpha_3 \in A^*(L_3)$ such that $L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3 = \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the nondegenerate bilinear Poincaré pairing for Chen–Ruan cohomology (see [4], [3, (6.20)]),

$$\mathbf{S} := \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{L_1} \cap \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{L_2},$$

and $e_i : \mathbf{S}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^{L_i}/\Gamma$ are the canonical inclusions, and \mathcal{F} is a complex Γ -bundle over \mathbf{S} , or equivalently, an orbifold vector bundle over \mathbf{S}/Γ , of rank

$$(5.8) \quad \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{S} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_\xi(r) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \iota(L_j).$$

From Lemma 4.6 it follows that \mathbf{S} is empty or zero dimensional if L_1 and L_2 are linearly independent. If \mathbf{S} is empty, then the corresponding Chen–Ruan products are automatically zero. Even otherwise, since L_3 is also nontrivial if L_1 and L_2 are linearly independent, using Eq. (5.6) we get that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)(g-1) > 0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{S}$$

(recall that $r \geq 3$). Hence, $c_{\text{top}} \mathcal{F} = 0$, and again all the corresponding Chen–Ruan products are zero.

If L_1 and L_2 are linearly dependent then we have the following three cases:

- (1) If L_1 and L_2 are both trivial then the Chen–Ruan products are just the usual products in the singular cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_\xi(r)/\Gamma$.
- (2) If all the three line bundles are nontrivial, meaning $L_i = L^{\otimes k_i}$ for some $L \neq \mathcal{O}_X$ and $1 \leq k_i \leq (r-1)$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then we have $\mathbf{S} = \mathcal{M}_\xi(r)^L$, and

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - r)(g - 1) > (r - 1)(g - 1) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{S}$$

(recall that $r \geq 3$). Hence $c_{\text{top}} \mathcal{F} = 0$, and all the corresponding Chen–Ruan products are zero.

- (3) If exactly two of the three L_i 's are nontrivial, then we must have $L_{i_1} = L$, $L_{i_2} = L^{\otimes(r-1)}$ and $L_{i_3} = \mathcal{O}_X$ for some $L \neq \mathcal{O}_X$ and some permutation $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The calculations of the Chen–Ruan products are analogous to the cases a), b) and c) in Section 6.1 of [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem and Y. Ruan, Twisted orbifold K -theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **237** (2003) 533–556.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **308** (1982) 523–615.
- [3] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, Chen–Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008), article ID rnn104, 32 pages, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnn104.
- [4] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, A new cohomology theory of orbifold, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **248** (2004) 1–31.
- [5] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, Orbifold Gromov–Witten theory, in: *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics* (Madison, WI, 2001), pp. 25–85, *Contemp. Math.* **310**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [6] R. Hain and E. Looijenga, Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of curves, in: *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, 97–142, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 62, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [7] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan, On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves, *Math. Ann.* **212** (1975) 215–248.
- [8] F. Kirwan, The cohomology rings of moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces, *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992) 853–906.
- [9] D. Mumford, Prym varieties. I, in: *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pp. 325–350, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [10] A. Ramanathan, Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 129–152.
- [11] Y. Ruan, Stringy geometry and topology of orbifolds, in: *Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens* (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000), pp. 187–233, *Contemp. Math.* **312**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

THEORETICAL STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203 B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700108, INDIA

E-mail address: `mainak@isical.ac.in`